

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC., a
Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR
INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware
corporation, and FAIRCHILD
SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION, a
Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 04-1371 JJF

**POWER INTEGRATIONS' [PROPOSED] SPECIAL VERDICT AND
INTERROGATORIES TO THE JURY - VALIDITY**

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:

VALIDITY OF POWER INTEGRATIONS' '876 PATENT

Anticipation of the '876 Patent

1. Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 1 of the '876 Patent is anticipated and therefore invalid? (A "YES" answer is a finding for Fairchild. A "NO" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations.)

YES _____ NO _____

Obviousness of the '876 Patent

2. Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 1 of the '876 Patent is obvious and therefore invalid? (A "YES" answer is a finding for Fairchild. A "NO" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations.)

YES _____ NO _____

3. If you answered "YES" to question 2, indicate below which reference(s) you have relied upon in determining Claim 1 of the '876 Patent was obvious.

VALIDITY OF POWER INTEGRATIONS' '851 PATENT

Anticipation of the '851 Patent

4. Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 1 of the '851 Patent is anticipated and therefore invalid? (A "YES" answer is a finding for Fairchild. A "NO" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations.)

YES _____ NO _____

Obviousness of the '851 Patent

5. Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 1 of the '851 Patent is obvious and therefore invalid? (A "YES" answer is a finding for Fairchild. A "NO" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations.)

YES _____ NO _____

6. If you answered "YES" to question 5, indicate below which reference(s) you have relied upon in determining Claim 1 of the '851 Patent was obvious.

VALIDITY OF POWER INTEGRATIONS' '366 PATENT

Anticipation of the '366 Patent

7. Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that claim 14 of the '366 Patent is anticipated and therefore invalid? (A "YES" answer is a finding for Fairchild. A "NO" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations.)

YES _____ NO _____

Obviousness of the '366 Patent

8. Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 14 of the '366 Patent is obvious and therefore invalid? (A "YES" answer is a finding for Fairchild. A "NO" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations.)

YES _____ NO _____

9. If you answered "YES" to question 8, indicate below which reference(s) you have relied upon in determining Claim 14 of the '366 Patent was obvious.

(CONTINUED BELOW)

VALIDITY OF POWER INTEGRATIONS' '075 PATENT

Obviousness of the '075 Patent

10. Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 5 of the '075 Patent is obvious and therefore invalid? (A "YES" answer is a finding for Fairchild. A "NO" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations.)

YES _____ NO _____

11. If you answered "YES" to question 10, indicate below which reference(s) you have relied upon in determining Claim 5 of the '075 Patent was obvious.

12. Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 5 of the '075 Patent lacks enablement and is therefore invalid? (A "YES" answer is a finding for Fairchild. A "NO" answer to this question is a finding for Power Integrations.)

YES _____ NO _____

You must each sign this Verdict Form: Dated: _____

_____ (foreperson)	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 1, 2006, I electronically filed with the Clerk of Court POWER INTEGRATIONS' [PROPOSED] SPECIAL VERDICT AND INTERROGATORIES TO THE JURY - VALIDITY using CM/ECF which will send electronic notification of such filing(s) to the following Delaware counsel. In addition, the filing will also be sent via hand delivery:

Steven J. Balick, Esq.
John G. Day, Esq.
Ashby & Geddes
222 Delaware Avenue, 17th Floor
P. O. Box 1150
Wilmington, DE 19899

I hereby certify that on September 1, 2006, I have served by Federal Express, the document(s) to the following non-registered participants:

G. Hopkins Guy, III
Bas de Blank
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP
1000 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Attorneys for Defendants
FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR
INTERNATIONAL, INC. and
FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR
CORPORATION

/s/ William J. Marsden, Jr.
William J. Marsden, Jr. (marsden@fr.com)